

# Pitfalls of C# Generics and Their Solution Using Concepts

Julia Belyakova, Stanislav Mikhalkovich

Institute for Mathematics, Mechanics and Computer Science named after I. I. Vorovich  
Southern Federal University

28th May, 2015

# Table of Contents

- 1 Concepts in Generic Programming
- 2 Concepts for C#: The Goal of Research
- 3 Pitfalls of C# Generics: Why To Use Concepts
- 4 Translation of Concepts
- 5 Conclusion and Future Work

# Mechanisms of Generic Programming

- 1 **Unconstrained C++ Templates.**
- 2 **Mechanisms Based on Explicit Constraints:**  
C# and Java generics, Haskell type classes, ML signatures, Scala traits, etc.

# Mechanisms of Generic Programming

## ① Unconstrained C++ Templates.

- flexibility;
- expressiveness;
- late stage of error detection;
- unclear error messages.

## ② Mechanisms Based on Explicit Constraints: C# and Java generics, Haskell type classes, ML signatures, Scala traits, etc.

# Mechanisms of Generic Programming

## ① Unconstrained C++ Templates.

- flexibility;
- expressiveness;
- late stage of error detection;
- unclear error messages.

## ② Mechanisms Based on Explicit Constraints: C# and Java generics, Haskell type classes, ML signatures, Scala traits, etc.

- early stage of error detection;
- error messages in terms of constraints;
- (in most cases) weaker expressiveness.

# Explicit-Constraints-Based Mechanisms

## How do they differ?

- 1 Support different kinds of **constraints/requirements** (function signatures, associated types, same-type constraints, etc.)
  - 2 Provide different **features** (retroactive modeling, multi-type constraints, constraints-propagation, etc.)
- Haskell Type Classes (the most powerful)
  - Scala Traits
  - ...
  - **C#/Java Generics** (one of the poorest)

# Explicit-Constraints-Based Mechanisms

## How do they differ?

- 1 Support different kinds of **constraints/requirements** (function signatures, associated types, same-type constraints, etc.)
  - 2 Provide different **features** (retroactive modeling, multi-type constraints, constraints-propagation, etc.)
- Haskell Type Classes (the most powerful)
  - Scala Traits
  - ...
  - **C#/Java Generics** (one of the poorest)
  - C++ Concepts

# Concepts

A term “concept” comes from the Standard Template Library (STL).

C++ Concepts as a new **language construct**:

- are underway in C++ community since 2000 (Bjarne Bjarne, Gabriel Dos Reis, Douglas Gregor, Jaakko Järvi and others);
- in respect to **expressive power** are comparable with Haskell type classes;
- are as **effective** as templates;
- do not suffer from templates diseases;
- are treated as a possible **substitution of unconstrained templates**;



# Concepts

A term “concept” comes from the Standard Template Library (STL).

C++ Concepts as a new **language construct**:

- are underway in C++ community since 2000 (Bjarne Bjarne, Gabriel Dos Reis, Douglas Gregor, Jaakko Järvi and others);
- in respect to **expressive power** are comparable with Haskell type classes;
- are as **effective** as templates;
- do not suffer from templates diseases;
- are treated as a possible **substitution of unconstrained templates**;
- **are still not included in C++.**

# The Goal of This Study

To introduce **concepts** into C# language to improve current mechanism of generic programming.

**C# Generics** (based on F-bounded polymorphism): constraints on type parameters of generic classes and methods are expressed in terms of **interfaces** and **subtyping**.

Concepts can be used with interfaces simultaneously.

# Why C#?

There are two aspects:

- 1 **A Design.** The design of concepts proposed is applicable to C#, Java and any .NET language with GP mechanism based on *F-bounded polymorphism*.
- 2 **An Implementation.** The method of concepts *translation* is strongly oriented to .NET Framework.

C# is suitable both for  
syntax demonstration and implementation.

# Concept Sample I

## Concept

- represents some abstraction;
- defines a named set of requirements on type parameters.

## Monoid example

```

concept CMonoid[T]
{
    T binOp(T x, T y);
    T ident;
}

static T Accumulate<T>(T[] values)
    where CMonoid[T] using cM
{
    T result = cM.ident;
    foreach (T val in values)
        result = cM.binOp(result, val);
    return result;
}

```

# Concept Sample II

## Monoid example in C#

```

interface IMonoid<T>
    where T : IMonoid<T>
{
    T binOp(T other);
}

static T Accumulate<T>(
    T[] values, T ident
)
where T : IMonoid<T>
{
    T result = ident;
    foreach (T val in values)
        result = result.binOp(val);
    return result;
}

```

# C# Pitfalls

- lack of retroactive interface implementation;
- recursive constraints;
- constraints-compatibility problem;
- multi-type constraints problem;
- constraints duplication;
- verbose type parameters.

# Constraints Compatibility Problem

## Generics

```

class HashSet<T>
    (IEqualityComparer<T>)

static HashSet<T> GetUnion<T>(
    HashSet<T> s1,
    HashSet<T> s2
){
    var us = new HashSet<T>(
        s1, s1.Comparer
    );
    us.UnionWith(s2);
    return us;
}

// GetUnion(s1, s2)
// != GetUnion(s2, s1)

```

## Generics with Concepts

```

class HashSet<T>
where CEqualityComparable [T]

static HashSet<T> GetUnion<T>(
    HashSet<T> s1,
    HashSet<T> s2
){
    var us = new HashSet<T>(
        s1
    );
    us.UnionWith(s2);
    return us;
}

// GetUnion(s1, s2)
// == GetUnion(s2, s1)

```

# The Sketch of Translation

## Owing to the properties of the .NET Framework:

- 1 A resultant code of translation is **generic**.
  - 2 Meta-information is preserved via **attributes**.
- **Concept** — abstract generic class. Type parameters and nested concept requirements — type parameters of this generic class.
  - **Generic class** — generic class with extra type parameters for concept requirements.
  - **Model** — class, a subtype of the corresponding abstract generic class of concept.
  - **Instantiation of generic class** — instantiation of the corresponding generic class with extra type parameters.



# The Advantages of Translation

- 1 Lowering the run-time expenses due to passing concepts as *types* (in contrast to G concepts [4] and Scala “concept pattern” [3]).
- 2 Modularity can be provided due to preserving full type information and meta-information.

## Comparison of “Concepts” Designs Under Garcia et. al. [1]

Feature	G	C++	C# <sup>ext</sup>	JGI	ScI	C# <sup>cpt</sup>
multi-type constraints	+	+	± <sup>1</sup>	+	+ <sup>2</sup>	+
associated types	+	+	+	-	+	+
same-type constraints	+	+	+	-	+	+
subtype constraints	-	-	+	+	+	+
retroactive modeling	+	+	± <sup>1</sup>	+	+ <sup>3</sup>	+
multiple models	+	-	± <sup>1</sup>	-	+	+
anonymous models	-	-	-	-	+ <sup>3</sup>	+
concept-based overloading	+	+	-	-	± <sup>4</sup>	-
constraints-compatibility	+	+	-	+	-	+

“C#<sup>ext</sup>” means C# with associated types [2].

“ScI” means Scala [3].

“C#<sup>ext</sup>” means C# with concepts.

<sup>1</sup>partially supported via “concept pattern”

<sup>2</sup>supported via “concept pattern”

<sup>3</sup>supported via “concept pattern” and implicits

<sup>4</sup>partially supported by prioritized overlapping implicits

# Future Work

- Formalization of translation.
- Implementation of C# compiler for restricted language.
- Concept syntax “approbation”.

# References

- [1] Ronald Garcia, Jaakko Jarvi, Andrew Lumsdaine, Jeremy Siek, and Jeremiah Willcock. An Extended Comparative Study of Language Support for Generic Programming. *J. Funct. Program.*, 17(2):145–205, March 2007.
- [2] Jaakko Järvi, Jeremiah Willcock, and Andrew Lumsdaine. Associated Types and Constraint Propagation for Mainstream Object-oriented Generics. In *Proceedings of the 20th Annual ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Object-oriented Programming, Systems, Languages, and Applications, OOPSLA '05*, pages 1–19, New York, NY, USA, 2005. ACM.
- [3] Bruno C.d.S. Oliveira, Adriaan Moors, and Martin Odersky. Type Classes As Objects and Implicits. In *Proceedings of the ACM International Conference on Object Oriented Programming Systems Languages and Applications, OOPSLA '10*, pages 341–360, New York, NY, USA, 2010. ACM.
- [4] Jeremy G. Siek. *A Language for Generic Programming*. PhD thesis, Indianapolis, IN, USA, 2005. AAI3183499.

# Monoid Concept Translation

## Monoid Concept

```

concept CMonoid[T]
{
    T binOp(T x, T y);
    T ident;
}

```

```

abstract class CMonoid<T>
{
    public abstract T binOp(T x, T y);
    public abstract T ident { get; };
}

```

## Generic Method

```

static T Accumulate<T>(
    T[] values
)
    where CMonoid[T]
{ ... }

```

```

static T Accumulate<T, CMonoid_T>(
    T[] values
)
    where CMonoid_T : CMonoid<T>
{ ... }

```